

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

FILED-CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
05 OCT 24 PM 4:43
TX EASTERN-MARSHALL

Technology Properties Limited, Inc.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Fujitsu Limited, Fujitsu General America, Inc.,
Fujitsu Computer Products of America, Inc.,
Fujitsu Computer Systems Corp., Fujitsu
Microelectronics America, Inc., Fujitsu Ten
Corporation of America, Matsushita Electrical
Industrial Co., Ltd., Panasonic Corporation of
North America, JVC Americas Corporation,
NEC Corporation, NEC Electronics America,
Inc., NEC America, Inc., NEC Display
Solutions of America, Inc., NEC Solutions
America, Inc., NEC Unified Solutions, Inc.,
Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc.,
Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc.,
Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. and
Toshiba America Consumer Products, LLC,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

2-05 CV-494

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Technology Properties Limited, Inc., for its complaint against defendants Fujitsu Limited, Fujitsu General America, Inc., Fujitsu Computer Products of America, Inc., Fujitsu Computer Systems Corp., Fujitsu Microelectronics America, Inc., Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America, Matsushita Electrical Industrial Co., Ltd., Panasonic Corporation of North America, JVC Americas Corporation, NEC Corporation, NEC Electronics America, Inc., NEC America, Inc., NEC Display Solutions of America, Inc., NEC Solutions America, Inc., NEC Unified Solutions, Inc., Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America, Inc., Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. and Toshiba America Consumer Products, LLC, alleges:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Technology Properties Limited, Inc. ("TPL"), is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business in San Jose, California.

2. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Fujitsu, Ltd. ("Fujitsu"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Country of Japan, and maintains its principal place of business at Shiodome City Center, 1-5-2 Higashi-Shimbashi, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-7123, Japan.

3. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Fujitsu General America, Inc. ("Fujitsu General"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 353 Route 46 West, Fairfield, NJ 07004.

4. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Fujitsu Computer Products of America, Inc. ("Fujitsu Computer Products"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California and maintains its principal place of business at 2904 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 95134.

5. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Fujitsu Computer Systems Corp. ("Fujitsu Computer Systems") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business at 1250 East Arquez Avenue M/S 124, Sunnyvale, CA 94085.

6. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Fujitsu Microelectronics America, Inc. ("Fujitsu Microelectronics"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business at 1250 East Arquez Avenue M/S 333, Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3470.

7. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Fujitsu Ten Corporation of America ("Fujitsu Ten"), is a corporation

organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business at 19600 South Vermont Avenue, Torrance, CA 90502.

8. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Matsushita Electrical Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Matsushita"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Country of Japan, and maintains its principal place of business at 1006, Kadoma, Kadoma City, Osaka 571-8501, Japan.

9. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Panasonic Corporation of North America ("Panasonic") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at One Panasonic Way, Secaucus, NJ 07094.

10. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant JVC Americas Corporation ("JVC") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 1700 Valley Road, Wayne, NJ 07470.

11. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant NEC Corporation ("NEC") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Country of Japan, and maintains its principal place of business at 7-1, Shiba 5-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108-8001.

12. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant NEC Electronics America, Inc. ("NEC Electronics") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business at 2880 Scott Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95050-2554.

13. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant NEC America, Inc. ("NEC America"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York, and maintains its principal place of business at 6555 North State Highway 161, Irving, Texas 75039.

14. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant NEC Display Solutions of America, Inc., ("NEC Display") is a

corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 500 Park Boulevard, Suite 1100, Itasca, IL 60143.

15. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant NEC Solutions America, Inc. ("NEC Solutions"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 10850 Gold Center Drive #200, Ranch Cordova, CA 95670.

16. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant NEC Unified Solutions, Inc. ("NEC Unified"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada, and maintains its principal place of business at 6555 North State Highway 161, Irving, Texas 75039.

17. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Toshiba Corporation ("Toshiba") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Country of Japan, and maintains its principal place of business at 1-1, Shibaura 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 105-8001, Japan.

18. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Toshiba America, Inc. ("Toshiba America"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, and maintains its principal place of business at 1251 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 4100, New York, NY 10020.

19. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Toshiba America Electronic Components, Inc. ("Toshiba Electronic"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business at 19900 MacArthur Boulevard, Suite 400, Irvine, CA 92612.

20. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc. ("Toshiba Information"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and maintains its principal place of business at 9740 Irvine Boulevard, Irvine, CA 92618.

21. TPL is informed and believes, and on the basis of such information and belief alleges, that defendant Toshiba America Consumer Products, LLC ("Toshiba Consumer"), is a

limited liability corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey, and maintains its principal place of business at 82 Totowa Road, Wayne, NJ 07470.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

22. The court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§1 *et seq.*

23. Venue is proper in this district as to defendants, and each of them, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b),(c),(d) and 1400(b) in that defendants have done business in this district, have committed acts of infringement in this district, and continue to commit acts of infringement in this district.

THE PATENTS

24. On July 22, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,598,148 (“the ‘148 patent”) was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled “High Performance Microprocessor Having Variable Speed System Clock.” A true and correct copy of the ‘148 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

25. On September 15, 1998, United States Patent No. 5,809,336 (“the ‘336 patent”) was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled “High Performance Microprocessor Having Variable Speed System Clock.” A true and correct copy of the ‘336 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

26. On July 21, 1998, United States Patent No. 5,784,584 (“the ‘584 patent”) was duly and legally issued for an invention entitled “High Performance Microprocessor Using Instructions That Operate Within Instruction Groups.” A true and correct copy of the ‘584 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

27. TPL is an owner of the right, title and interest to the ‘148 patent, the ‘336 patent, and the ‘584 patent (collectively, “the patents-in-suit”), has the exclusive right to enforce and license the patents-in-suit, and has standing to sue.

INFRINGEMENT BY FUJITSU

28. Fujitsu has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Fujitsu is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

29. Fujitsu General has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Fujitsu General is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

30. Fujitsu Computer Products has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Fujitsu Computer Products is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

31. Fujitsu Computer Systems has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Fujitsu Computer Systems is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

32. Fujitsu Microelectronics has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Fujitsu Microelectronics is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

33. Fujitsu Ten has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Fujitsu microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Fujitsu Ten is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

34. Fujitsu's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Fujitsu the damages sustained by it as a result of Fujitsu's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Fujitsu's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

35. Fujitsu General's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Fujitsu the damages sustained by it as a result of Fujitsu's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Fujitsu General's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

36. Fujitsu Computer Products' acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Fujitsu Computer Products the damages sustained by it as a result of Fujitsu's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Fujitsu Computer Products' infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage

plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

37. Fujitsu Computer Systems' acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Fujitsu Computer Systems the damages sustained by it as a result of Fujitsu's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Fujitsu Computer Systems' infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

38. Fujitsu Microelectronics' acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Fujitsu Microelectronis the damages sustained by it as a result of Fujitsu Microelectronics' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Fujitsu Microelectronics' infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

39. Fujitsu Ten's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Fujitsu Ten the damages sustained by it as a result of Fujitsu Ten's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Fujitsu Ten's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

40. Upon information and belief, Fujitsu's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

41. Upon information and belief, Fujitsu General's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

42. Upon information and belief, Fujitsu Computer Products' infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

43. Upon information and belief, Fujitsu Computer Systems' infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

44. Upon information and belief, Fujitsu Microelectronic's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

45. Upon information and belief, Fujitsu Ten's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

INFRINGEMENT BY MATSUSHITA

46. Matsushita has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Matsushita microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Matsushita microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Matsushita is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

47. Panasonic has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Matsushita microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Matsushita microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Panasonic is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

48. JVC has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Matsushita microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Matsushita microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. JVC is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

49. Matsushita's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Matsushita the damages sustained by it as a result of Matsushita's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Matsushita's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

50. Panasonic's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Panasonic the damages sustained by it as a result of Panasonic's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Panasonic's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

51. JVC's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Matsushita the damages sustained by it as a result of JVC's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. JVC's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

52. Upon information and belief, Matsushita's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

53. Upon information and belief, Panasonic's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

54. Upon information and belief, JVC's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

INFRINGEMENT BY NEC

55. NEC has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying NEC microprocessors and

microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. NEC is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

56. NEC Electronics has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. NEC Electronics is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

57. NEC America has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. NEC America is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

58. NEC Display has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. NEC Display is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

59. NEC Solutions has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. NEC Solutions is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

60. NEC Unified has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying NEC microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. NEC Unified is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

61. NEC's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from NEC the damages sustained by it as a result of NEC's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. NEC's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

62. NEC Electronics' acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from NEC Electronics the damages sustained by it as a result of NEC Electronics' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. NEC Electronics' infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

63. NEC America's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from NEC America the damages sustained by it as a result of NEC America's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. NEC America's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

64. NEC Display's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from NEC Display the damages sustained by it as a result of NEC Display's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. NEC Display's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

65. NEC Solutions' acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from NEC Solutions the damages sustained by it as a result of NEC Solutions' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. NEC Solutions' infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

66. NEC Unified's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from NEC Unified the damages sustained by it as a result of NEC Unified's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. NEC Unified's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

67. Upon information and belief, NEC's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

68. Upon information and belief, NEC Electronics' infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

69. Upon information and belief, NEC America's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

70. Upon information and belief, NEC Display's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

71. Upon information and belief, NEC Solutions' infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

72. Upon information and belief, NEC Unified's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

INFRINGEMENT BY TOSHIBA

73. Toshiba has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Toshiba is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

74. Toshiba America has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Toshiba America is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

75. Toshiba Electronic has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Toshiba Electronic is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

76. Toshiba Information has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Toshiba Information is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

77. Toshiba Consumer has infringed and continues to infringe the patents-in-suit, and each of them, by its manufacture, use, sale, importation, and/or offer for sale of infringing products including Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers and products embodying

Toshiba microprocessors and microcontrollers; and its contributing to and inducement of others to manufacture, use, sell, import, and/or offer for sale infringing products. Toshiba Consumer is liable for its infringement of the patents-in-suit, and each of them, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271.

78. Toshiba's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Toshiba the damages sustained by it as a result of Toshiba's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Toshiba's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

79. Toshiba America's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Toshiba America the damages sustained by it as a result of Toshiba America's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Toshiba America's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

80. Toshiba Electronic's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Toshiba Electronic the damages sustained by it as a result of Toshiba Electronic's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Toshiba Electronic's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

81. Toshiba Information's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Toshiba Information the damages sustained by it as a result of Toshiba Information's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Toshiba Information's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

82. Toshiba Consumer's acts of infringement have caused damage to plaintiff and plaintiff is entitled to recover from Toshiba Consumer the damages sustained by it as a result of

Toshiba 's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Toshiba Consumer's infringement of plaintiff's rights under the patents-in-suit will continue to damage plaintiff, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law unless enjoined by this Court.

83. Upon information and belief, Toshiba's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

84. Upon information and belief, Toshiba America's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

85. Upon information and belief, Toshiba Electronic's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285 \

86. Upon information and belief, Toshiba Information's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

87. Upon information and belief, Toshiba Consumer's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful and deliberate, entitling plaintiff to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff requests entry of judgment in its favor and against defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- a) An award of damages adequate to compensate plaintiff for the infringement alleged herein, together with prejudgment interest thereon;
- b) Enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- c) An award of attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by law;

- d) A permanent injunction prohibiting defendants and their respective officers, agents, employees and those acting in privity with them, from further infringement of the patents-in-suit;
- e) Costs of suit; and
- f) For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: October 24, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By: S Calvin Capshaw
S. Calvin Capshaw, State Bar No. 03783900
BROWN McCARROLL, LLP (by permission EJD)
ccapshaw@mailbmc.com
1127 Judson Road, Suite 220
P.O. Box 3999
Longview, Texas 75601-5157
Telephone: (903) 236-9800
Facsimile: (903) 236-8787

JONES AND JONES INC., P.C.
Franklin Jones, Jr. (State Bar No. 00000055)
201 West Houston Street, P.O. Drawer 1249
Marshall, TX 75671-1249
Telephone: (903) 938-4395
Facsimile: (903) 938-3360
maizieh@millerfirm.com

IRELAND CARROLL AND KELLEY, P.C.
Otis W. Carroll, State Bar No. 03895700
nancy@icklawn.com
6101 South Broadway, Suite 500
P.O. Box 7879
Tyler, Texas 75711
Telephone: (903) 561-1600
Facsimile: (903) 561-1071

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Roger L. Cook, CA State Bar No. 55208
rlcook@townsend.com
Eric P. Jacobs, CA State Bar No. 88413
epjacobs@townsend.com
Byron W. Cooper, CA State Bar No. 166578
bwcooper@townsend.com
Iris S. Mitrakos, CA State Bar No. 190162
ismitrakos@townsend.com
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 576-0200
Facsimile: (415) 576-0300

Attorneys for Plaintiff
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, INC

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff TPL hereby demands trial by jury of all issues so triable in this matter.

DATED: October 24, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By: S. Calvin Capshaw (by permission EJK)
S. Calvin Capshaw, State Bar No. 03783900
BROWN McCARROLL, LLP
ccapshaw@mailbmc.com
1127 Judson Road, Suite 220
P.O. Box 3999
Longview, Texas 75601-5157
Telephone: (903) 236-9800
Facsimile: (903) 236-8787

JONES AND JONES INC., P.C.
Franklin Jones, Jr. (State Bar No. 00000055)
201 West Houston Street, P.O. Drawer 1249
Marshall, TX 75671-1249
Telephone: (903) 938-4395
Facsimile: (903) 938-3360
maizieh@millerfirm.com

IRELAND CARROLL AND KELLEY, P.C.
Otis W. Carroll, State Bar No. 03895700
nancy@icklawn.com
6101 South Broadway, Suite 500
P.O. Box 7879
Tyler, Texas 75711
Telephone: (903) 561-1600
Facsimile: (903) 561-1071

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Roger L. Cook, CA State Bar No. 55208
rlcook@townsend.com
Eric P. Jacobs, CA State Bar No. 88413
epjacobs@townsend.com
Byron W. Cooper, CA State Bar No. 166578
bwcooper@townsend.com
Iris S. Mitrakos, CA State Bar No. 190162
ismitrakos@townsend.com
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 576-0200
Facsimile: (415) 576-0300

Attorneys for Plaintiff
TECHNOLOGY PROPERTIES LIMITED, INC.